

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,016	07/24/2003	Marco Vignaroli	Z01-136	9957	
. 75	90 06/09/2004		EXAMINER		
R. Neil Sudol	R. Neil Sudol			JOHNSON, STEPHEN	
714 Colorado Avenue Bridgeport, CT 06605-1601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Briageport, C1	00003 1001		3641		
	г			4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Leging- 4						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/			
÷.	10/626,016	VIGNAROLI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen M. Johnson	3641	\			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	; 1			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica: - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. HTHS from the mailing date of this commun HANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction are subject to restriction are subjected to by the E	withdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement. examiner.	h. N. Sanaina				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			121(d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action f	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in a the priority documents have been I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	ge			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:		-,			

Application/Control Number: 10/626,016

Art Unit: 3641

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species A is illustrated in figs. 1-5. Species B is illustrated in figs. 6-7. Species C is directed to the method of making the embodiment illustrated in figs. 1-5. Species D is directed to the method of making the embodiment illustrated in figs. 6-7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,016

Art Unit: 3641

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

3. Inventions [Species C or D] and [Species A or B] are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the recoil pad could be made absent a molding cavity and the method of manufacturing could be used to make a recoil pad used in any number of recoil environments absent a firearm stock.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 703-306-4158. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 703-306-4198. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-4177.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9326. The fax phone number for after final communications is (703) 872-9327.

Slylwar

STEPHEN M. JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER Stephen M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641 Page 3

SMJ